## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

JAMAL SHUFLER,	§
Respondent Below- Appellant,	§ No. 73, 2006
v.	<ul><li>§ Court Below—Family Court</li><li>§ of the State of Delaware,</li></ul>
JUDY SHUFLER, <sup>1</sup>	<ul><li>§ in and for New Castle County</li><li>§ File No. CN01-11049</li></ul>
Petitioner Below- Appellee.	§ §

Submitted: March 3, 2006 Decided: March 20, 2006 Revised: April 13, 2006

Before STEELE, Chief Justice, BERGER, and RIDGELY, Justices.

## ORDER

This 13<sup>th</sup> day of March 2006, it appears to the Court that:

- (1) The respondent-appellant, Jamal Shufler, has petitioned this Court, pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 42, to accept an appeal from an interlocutory order of the Family Court, dated January 10, 2006, which addressed the procedure used for interviewing the parties' children.
- (2) Respondent filed his application for certification to take an interlocutory appeal in the Family Court on January 30, 2006. The Family Court denied the certification application on March 1, 2006.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Under Supreme Court Rule 7(d) the names of the parties have been replaced with pseudonyms.

(3) Applications for interlocutory review are addressed to the sound discretion of this Court. In the exercise of its discretion, this Court has concluded that the application for interlocutory review does not meet the requirements of Supreme Court Rule 42(b) and should be refused.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the within interlocutory appeal is REFUSED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Henry duPont Ridgely
Justice